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Abstract

Purpose of the study: This paper is a study on the novel A Clockwork Orange by Anthony Burgess. It is an analysis of Alex’s psychological condition and a study on the Ludovico experiment in relation to other popular experiments in psychology. The paper brings to light the dark and evil side of adolescence.

Methodology: This study uses a psychological approach in analyzing the character of the protagonist Alex. Various psychological theories are applied in this paper to interpret this novel.

Main Findings: Examining Alex’s depiction in the novel, he is presumed as a sociopath with a narcissistic personality disorder. The Ludovico experiment which stole the limelight in this novel is a behavioral modification technique, powered by negative reinforcement. Despite being a fictional experiment and a product of Burgess’s imagination, the Ludovico experiment is stemmed out of Ivan Pavlov’s classical conditioning. This experiment also complements Watson and Rayner’s ‘Little Albert’ experiment. The termination of Alex’s free will by the Ludovico experiment is reversed by the flooding method of desensitization.

Applications of this study: The novel, A Clockwork Orange is brimming with psychological theories, hence a fascinating book to the psychologists. The protagonist Alex, continues to remain as a case-study amongst the scholars of psychology.

Novelty/Originality of this study: The final chapter in the novel has various interpretations. The reversal of Alex’s condition is caused by the flooding method of desensitization. While the cause of reversal holds varied comprehension, the flooding method seems like the fitting one.

Keywords: Psychological Study, A Clockwork Orange, Adolescence, Psychological Disorder, Sociopath, Narcissistic Personality Disorder, Ultra-violence.

INTRODUCTION

Psychology is a branch of science that studies an individual’s mind and behavior. It dives into one’s conscious and unconscious state of mind and analyse the individual’s thoughts and feelings. This paper analyses the mind and behavior of Alex, the protagonist of the novel A Clockwork Orange by Anthony Burgess. A psychological study on Alex’s mind, behavior, and practice of violence detect two psychological disorders. Alex is a sociopath with narcissistic personality disorder (Joe, 1963). Alex’s indulgence in ultra-violence, destruction of property, rape, bloodshed, murder, seeking thrills that are terrifying in nature and substance abuse sums up to prove the two mental conditions Alex suffers from. This paper adopts psychological theories to interpret the Ludovico experiment which is a behavioral modification technique using negative reinforcement. The experiment itself is a fictional version of the classical conditioning by Ivan Pavlov. Watson and Rayner’s ‘Little Albert’ experiment complements the Ludovico experiment. The mystery behind the reversal of the conditioning imposed on Alex can be solved by the flooding method of desensitization. The psychological perception of this novel helps the reader to understand Alex on a deeper scale, past the general stereotypic interpretations. Viewing through the lens of psychology, (Ciment, Michel 1981) one can unravel his emotions behind his acts of violence. Despite the disturbing violence depicted in the novel, the novel gains a fascinating side when psychologically interpreted.

Alex is a fifteen-year-old English boy experiencing adolescence. Adolescence is a tender phase where a child gains puberty and undergoes a physical and psychological transition, which gradually advances a child into a period of legal adulthood. Alex in this novel overrides the general notion of adolescent behavior and takes a deep dive into the dark and dreaded side of adolescence. His daily routine involved him playing the role of a schoolboy by day and a terror-striking beast by night. This paper detects Alex’s psychological disposition, based on his depiction in the novel. Alex is presumed as a sociopath, alongside being a narcissist (Chitty, Susan 1983). The twinning of the two psychological conditions drives Alex to commit acts of ultra violence like robbery, rape, and murder. Alex’s portrayal in this dystopian novel holds up a mirror to the current society where the adolescents drift into their evil side, practicing criminal-ism.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The novel A Clockwork Orange is brimming with psychological theories. Although the Ludovico experiment stole the spotlight in the novel, it acquired mixed reactions from its readers. A significant number of critics collectively yoked in disagreement with the experiment. Mathis Chevalier argues by saying ‘we have to let our own selves be no matter how our selves are.’ He goes on to say that we should embrace our uniqueness. Every human holds within a fair share of imperfection. Hence, to be forcefully conditioned to adapt perfection is being stripped of our rights, he adds (Brooks,
Jeremy 1962). A solid statement of his is that no one can be truly good at something when that is driven by force. His passion for evil was a mightier drive. Alex was forced to adopt a violence-free path, despite the gushing urge to commit crimes. In Blake Morrison’s account on A Clockwork Orange, he points out Stanley Kubrick’s response to the final chapter, where Alex in accordance with his own free will chooses to live a clean life. Kubrick was least impressed with the blandly optimistic climax. Alex’s glorious return to violence seemed more realistic to him (Brooks, Jeremy 1962).

Although Alex attains maturity, his son may have to encounter this vicious circle of terrifying adolescence. Jack Thompson emphasizes on the misuse of power by the government (Anthony Burgess 1964). The experiment, projected as an act of justice was a mere pretense. Alex’s prison life lucked into an irresistible offer for an early release which would be granted on undergoing the Ludovico experiment. The early release was a bait that the government used to find a subject to volunteer in their secret project. The government’s involvement in this dark experiment exploited Alex by nullifying his freewill. It cared less for Alex’s victimized state, post-experiment. But Alex’s attempt to end the torment by throwing himself out from the attic brought the government down to its knees. Even to this day, this novel remains a controversial subject and a playground for the critics and the students of psychology (Calder et. al.,1968).

METHODOLOGY

This paper compares the Ludovico experiment with another similar psychological experiment. The Ludovico experiment has a striking resemblance to Ivan Pavlov’s classical conditioning. During the dawn of the 20th century, Ivan Pavlov, a Russian scientist birthed the theory of classical conditioning while studying the dog’s digestive system. During the study, he spotted a peculiar behavioral pattern that sprung into a new line of experiments. The purpose of the experiment was to observe the salivation in the dogs while being fed (Pavlov 1927). The experiment involved a small test tube being implanted in the dog’s cheek, in order to measure the salivation. Dog’s salivation to food is an instinctual action. The dogs were expected to salivate on seeing the food which was a powder made out of meat. But the dog’s response surpassed their expectation. Mere hearing of the footsteps of the lab technicians caused the dogs to instantly salivate. Since these technicians were in the habit of feeding them, the dogs corresponded to the entry of the technicians with the food that followed them. Here food represents the unconditioned stimulus and salivation represents the unconditioned response. There was no learning required in order to trigger this response. It was purely an instinctual response (Pavlov 1927).

Pavlov’s experiment (Pavlov 1927) required the help of a metronome which served as a neutral stimulus. The dogs were given their food after clicking the metronome. The food delivery and the metronome were timed precisely. In the early stages of the experiment, the dogs salivated only at the arrival of the food and not at the preceding metronome. But further down the experiment, the dogs instantly salivated at the ticking of the metronome although the food was tangibly absent. It is impossible for a ticking metronome to trigger salivation. It was the pairing of the metronome to the food delivery that conditioned the dogs to salivate. Here the metronome represents the conditioned stimulus and the salivation represents the conditioned response. The metronome which was once a neutral stimulus has now become a conditioned stimulus (Burgess 1972). The Ludovico experiment brings about a similar result as Pavlov’s experiment. Alex’s urge to commit violence is paired with the bout of pain and nausea which was induced by the chemical shots. Hence, his system refused the mere thought of violence.

The aversion therapy is powered by classical conditioning and is similar to that of The Ludovico experiment (Aggeler, G.1979). This therapy is practiced on patients who are struggling with addictions and obsessive-compulsive disorder patient is given access to their stimulus like alcohol, drugs or anything that corresponds to their kind of addiction but simultaneously they are made to experience discomfort. This plays on their mind and their system will automatically create an aversion to their addiction. Depending on the degree of addiction, (Sholikah, F. W. 2014) stimulus like electric shocks or chemical shots are given to the patients. The pain and discomfort experienced will force their system to give up their addiction. The Ludovico experiment too mirrors the aversion therapy. Alex was given access to his addiction to violence via the screening of ultra-violence. Along-side his indulgence in the viewing of the violence, there were doses of discomfort induced. This tricked his system into having an aversion towards violence.

Watson and Rayner’s (1920) ‘Little Albert’ experiment has a striking resemblance to the Ludovico experiment. To fear a loud noise is an unconditioned response but the motive of the experiment was to find out whether fear could be directed to a particular object. Albert, an eleven-month toddler was showered with different kinds of toys to play. Like any normal toddler, Albert enjoyed the company of the toys. But every time he was handed a white rat toy, a metal bar was struck which induced fear into little Albert. (Suman Rajest S et al.,2017, Suda, I. K., 2017, Sanjaya, D. B. 2017) After a few attempts, when the white ray toy was handed to him, he felt uneasy and let out a cry. But this time the metal bar was not struck. Human beings are more likely to develop acquired fears naturally. It is possible to train a man to direct his fear onto something in particular when it is coupled with feelings like pain, distress, embarrassment and so on. Similarly (Suman Rajest S et al., 2017), the Ludovico experiment directed Alex’s fear of committing acts of violence. By linking violence to pain and nausea, Alex’s system was trained never to indulge in violence.

DISCUSSION / ANALYSIS

An analysis of Alex’s personality would stem into two psychological conditions. Alex is a sociopath with traits of narcissist personality disorder. The twinning of the two disorders birthed an evil-lurking beast within Alex. Abominable acts such as
violence, rape, and murder were committed with no remorse or guilt. (Mulyani et al., 2017; Anthony et al., 2017; Espinoza et al., 2017) A sociopath is vulnerable to substance abuse. In the evenings, Alex swings into The Korova Milk-bar where he is served milk, laced with drugs. The bar was unauthorized to serve drugs hence, the pretension of a milk bar. When Alex and his friends get high on drugs, they would venture into the night committing acts of violence, under the influence of the drugs. For a fifteen-year-old, Alex is a spoilt teen shackled to drug addiction.

A sociopath denies moral values. Khanna, K., & Bali, P. (2017) and Singh, S. (2017), Alex scoffs and mocks at the weaklings to entice himself. Narcotized at The Korova Milk-bar, Alex and his friends wander out to corrupt their evening. Instantly they catch sight of a schoolmaster walking out of a public library, carrying the borrowed books. (Soni et al., 2019) The boys kick start their evening by bullying him and ripping apart the borrowed books. It soon elevates to stripping his clothing and robbing him of his belongings. Macias et al.,(2018) The same evening they crash into a corner store and rob expensive cigars. They beat up the owner and humiliate his wife, leaving them hospitalized.

The boys paused their thrills for a drink at the Duke of New York. Later they took a stroll down the Amis Avenue, passing by a drunk old man venting his thoughts via songs of lamentations, of how the world was no more a place for an old man like himself. Alex was provoked and demanded him to stop. Sooner, Alex pounded on him leaving the old man in a pool of blood. Alex derives pleasure by shedding blood. Sanjaya, D. B. (2017) and Wesnawa, I. G. A. (2017), His practice of violence is very intense. The pleasure derived by the shedding of innocent blood is disturbing. His comparison of blood to snow and white Lily is absurd. He derives aesthetic joy and pleasure by shedding blood. The old man being humiliated and shamed by the boys is recorded in the below lines.

“...and that made the old deck start moaning a lot then, then out comes the blood, my brothers, really beautiful. So all we did then was to pull his outer plates off, stripping him down to his vest and long underpants (very starry; Dim smacked his head off near), and then Pete kicks him lovely in his pot.” (1.1.22)

Sociopaths manipulate people with their superficial charms. At the Duke of New York, Alex sponsored drinks for a group of older women. It was a routine that the boys often slipped out to commit crimes between drinks. Whenever the cops show up at the bar for an interrogation, (Suman Rajest S et al, 2018; Zambrano et al., 2018) the group of women would sing praises and give the boys an alibi, knowing that the boys did commit the crimes. Their alibis dissolved all suspicion held against the boys. Although the act of buying drinks to the women seems generous, it was but a sleazy buy off. Thus, Alex’s superficial charm served as bliss in his villainous life.

Another quality of a sociopath is deceiving people. Alex was a deceiver. He schemed out a sequence which the boys flawlessly enacted at the sites of the prospective break-in. (Macías et al., 2018; Maba et al., 2018) Alex would first scrutinize the lined-up houses and pick out the vulnerable ones. He would then throw himself at their doors pleading for help, pretending to have met with an accident and requesting to call for doctors to attend on his injured friends. When the owners respond to him, the boys charge at them and ransack their houses. Sadly some of them turn out to be victims of rape. Thus, the boys tricked people and invaded their house at the dead of the night.

Sociopaths exploit innocent people. At the record shop, Alex meets two ten-year-old girls Marty and Sonietta. Blessed with verbal facile, he runs his words smooth and captivates them under his sweet-control. (Delgado et al., 2018; Meza et al., 2018; Mora et al., 2018) He showers them with food and gradually gains their trust. When opportunity turns up, he lures them to his apartment promising an exhibit of his record collections. He gets them tipsy and defiles their purity and innocence. Alex’s beastly nature ruined their childhood, having their tender age torn apart. Alex’s beastly nature of victimizing the innocent is visible in the below statement.

“I felt the old tigers leap in me and then I leaped on these two young pittas. This time they thought nothing fun and stopped screeching with high mirth, and had to submit to the strange and weird desires of Alexander the Large which, what with the Ninth and the hypo jab, O my brothers. But they were both very drunken and could hardly feel very much.” (1.4.34-35)

A sociopath is a thrill-seeker. They disgust monotonous life. Alex’s thrills were beyond mere adventure. They were brewed with hatred and violence. Once Alex and his friends snitched a motorcar and drove on the sidewalk. They drove as though they played dodge with the pedestrians. Then, they zoomed through the woods as if the car ate-up the road like a spaghetti. In the woods, they paused to bully and terrorize a couple. Suweken et al., 2017 and Herianto, E. (2017) Alex’s definition of a thrill is petrifying. It victimizes the people around him.

A sociopath refuses to abide by the norms laid up by society. Alex could never blend in with the ideas that existed in his time; not even the popular fashion of his time. Alex’s fashion statement was a pair of shiny leather tights and a jacket with a built-in shoulder. He accessorized his outfit with a designer cravat and a pair of long boots. His dignified twist to his outfit was the straight razor which he carried along at all times. (Pincay, H. J. J. V et al., 2018; Delgado et al., 2018) As an English boy, Alex never spoke standard English unlike the people of his time. He chose to use Nadsat as his medium of communication. Nadsat is a blend of Russian and English. Only Alex and his friends spoke this language in this novel. A ting of arrogance is spotted in Alex’s stand-out approaches.
A sociopath is detached from his emotions and feelings. Every act of violence by Alex was committed with no remorse or feelings of guilt. It is impossible for a rational being to hold on to his sanity on committing multiple gruesome acts. Alex sheds innocent blood, abuses the weaklings, wrecks the lives of people; yet, continues to press forward, with no sign of break-downs in his life. Each act of violence fed Alex’s pleasures of the id. Being able to detach from his emotions and feelings has empowered Alex to stretch on with his experiments with the dark and evil side of adolescence. Alex’s obsession with the shedding of blood is horrifying. The below lines are a gimp of his absurd obsession.

“And, my brothers, it was real satisfaction to me to waltz--left two three, right two three--and carve left cheeky and right cheeky, so that like two curtains of blood seemed to pour out at the same time, one on either side of his fat filthy oily snout in the winter starlight. Down this blood poured in like red curtains.” (1.2.8)

A sociopath finds it difficult to sustain relationships. Alex’s relationship with his parents was strained. The love that bonds the family together shuns out of Alex’s life. There was a never-ending void that filled the apartment that Alex and his parents lived in. Although at the beginning of the novel Alex seems to lead a major league of four, mid-way through the novel, the squad separates. The fall-out was due to Alex’s arrogance towards his fellow mate. Thus, sustaining relationships was a major drawback in Alex.

A sociopath has no regard for the feelings of others. All through the novel, Alex authenticates the above statement. A drop of humanity could have served as an instrument to inflict guilt in Alex. Unfortunately, there never was any. He proudly presented his only possession, his stone-cold heart. A heart too hard to soak in another man’s feelings.

Alongside being a sociopath, Alex had traits of narcissistic personality disorder. A narcissist displays exaggerated feelings of self-importance. To Alex, the earth revolved around him. He was the supreme power and the alpha male. On one occasion, Alex’s friends- Dim, Pete, and Georgie brought to the table their thoughts of formulating a new way. They no longer wanted to be under the leadership of Alex and wanted to frame a democratic set-up. This compelled a threat upon Alex’s alpha-male position. When Alex and the boys made their way to a bar, Alex swiftly pulls out his straight razor and fights them with no prior warning. The boys were defeated and hence they yielded to Alex’s majesty.

A narcissistic personality disorder calls for the excessive need for admiration (Astaw, D. N. W. 2019). Alex can be categorized under grandiosity. Grandiosity is a mental condition where an individual holds an illogical view of superiority. They consider their fellow beings inferior. Alex treated Dim poorly, as an insignificant object. He let Dim hang out with them only to bully him. Alex was an admirer of himself. He was always clothed in the highest of fashion. His outward appearance was a matter of a great deal. His evening strolls were a terror to people as he randomly chose his victims. Alex demanded all glory for himself. There was no sharing in his majesty.

A narcissist lacks empathy. Empathy is the ability to understand another man’s feelings. Alex’s crippled state of love and compassion immune him from experiencing guilt. Lack of empathy leads to psychological starvation. It could drive a person to behave in a destructive manner, which was the case with Alex. It’s root could be traced back to his childhood. Starvation of love at a tender age could have led to this outcome.

A narcissist feels the need to be powerful. Their definition of power is the destruction of property, victimizing their fellow beings and trespassing all moral principles. The novel records various incidents where Alex has demonstrated all of the above display of powers. He reaffirms over and over that every act of violence was committed deliberately and was committed with passion.”…But what I do I do because I like to do.” (1.4.21)

Thus, an analysis of Alex’s psychological condition would comprehend him to be a sociopath with traits of narcissistic personality disorder. The above analysis was based on the incidents recorded in the first part of the novel. The latter part of the novel tumbles Alex’s life upside-down. The Ludovico experiment alters his life’s course.

A failed attempt (Hidalgo et al.,2019) during a robbery forced Alex into prison. The government’s involvement with a dark and secret project called The Ludovico experiment was the light at the end of the tunnel for Alex’s doomed prison life. He volunteered to undergo the experiment with his eyes on the prize, which was an offer for an early release. The word Ludovico is a derivation from the name Ludwig Van Beethoven who was Alex’s musical idol. The experiment aimed at modifying the behavior of deadly criminals. Alex flaunted his criminal profile with episodes of robbery, rape, and drug abuse; hence, a fitting specimen for the experiment.

The experiment involved Alex being injected with chemical shots and taken in for the viewing of the ultraviolence on a screen. Although Alex initially relished his treat, he soon began to experience nausea and unpleasant feelings induced by the shots. The chemical shots and the screening of the violence were timed perfectly in order to obtain the desired result. The experiment resulted in Alex detesting the mere thought of violence. The Ludovico experiment is a fictional version of Ivan Pavlov’s classical conditioning.

After Alex underwent this dreadful experiment, he was presented to an audience of elite people. The demonstrations included a tough man attacking him and an attractive woman luring him. When Alex tried to retaliate to the tough man’s attack, he suddenly experienced a bout of pain and nausea and dropped down to the ground. Similarly (Dr. P. Suresh et al.,2019) when he tried to respond to the seduction, there was a relapse of pain and nausea. But the astonishing fact was
that the outcome achieved was not under the influence of the chemical shot. His body associated violence with pain and nausea and his system refused to commit acts of violence. Pavlov’s dogs automatically salivated at the ringing of the bell although the food was not tangibly present. Similarly, even though Alex was relieved of the chemical shots, his body was conditioned to act like he was still on the shot. Alex’s system was modified and the experiment was declared a success by the government. But unfortunate for Alex, the catastrophe that followed gave him a taste of his own medicine.

The Ludovic experiment was not a real success because it stripped Alex off his free will. Merta, I. N. (2019) The title ‘A Clockwork Orange’ comes into being post-experiment. A clockwork orange can be interpreted as a being, full of colour and juice which functions like a clockwork toy. The being has no will of its own. It functions by being wound-up by an external force. Here Alex is a clockwork toy because his freewill is stripped away. People held diversified views regarding his condition. The Chaplin laments Alex’s helpless state of being.

"You are passing now to a region where you will be beyond the reach of the power of prayer. A terrible thing to consider. And yet, in a sense, in choosing to be deprived of the ability to make an ethical choice, you have in a sense really chosen the good. So I shall like to think. So, God help us all…” (2.3.13)

Some saw the outcome as a breakthrough in medical science. They regarded Alex as a transformed man who could finally live up to his standards as a Christian.

"He will be your true Christian," Dr. Brodsky was screeching out, "ready to turn the other cheek, ready to be crucified rather than crucify, sick to the very heart at the thought even of killing a fly.” And that was right, brothers, because when he said that I thought of killing a fly and felt just that tiny bit sick, but I pushed the sickness and pain back by thinking of the fly being fed with bits of sugar and looked after like a bleeding pet and all that cal. "Reclamation,” he screeched. "Joy before the Angels of God.” (2.7.24-25)

His worst nightmares crept in after he was relieved from the experiment (Handayani, N. D et al.,2019; Haldorai, A. Ramu et al.,2019). Karma made a free run at him. When victims from his past paid him his due, Alex couldn’t defend himself. Yet the worst of all the terrors he faced was when his fate drove him to the writer, F. Alexander’s house; where Alex and his friends raped the writer’s wife which brought her a tragic end.

The writer initially extends his helping-hand until he learned that Alex was the rapist that caused his wife’s death. The writer avenge his wife’s death by confining Alex to a room with ear-splitting music played in the background. Despite the fact that Alex was a lover of classical music, he could no longer cherish music as the screened clips during the experiment (Yogasari, I. A. M et al.,2019) had music playing in the background. The behavior modification process not only caused his system to rebel violence but also the music. Unable to withstand the torture, Alex jumped off the window which was his only way of escape. Luckily Alex survived the fall.

Alex on waking up finds his condition reversed. He no longer experienced pain or nausea. The cause for the reversal of the conditioning was the flooding method of desensitization Mingu, D et al.,(2019). The fascinating part of the experiment was, being able to undo the conditioning imposed on Alex. The flooding method involves a person being exposed to the phobia all at once. This immense trauma experienced by the person would help the person overcome the fear. There are two types of desensitization. The systematic desensitization involves the patients being exposed to their fears steadily. Anxiety-inducing stimuli are permitted in a moderate measure where the patient encounters the fear in a secured environment. The intensity increases with each session and the patient will encounter the highest degree in the final lap. Whereas, the flooding method of desensitization involves the patient experiencing fear all at once. The final process of systematic desensitization is the first step in the flooding method. In the novel, Alex experienced the flooding method of desensitization by being exposed to blaring music all at once. This reversed his condition and he regained his lost abilities.

Alex is delighted by the reversal of his condition. The fact he could make his own choices and could listen to classical music cheered him. Although Alex is back to his own-self, he chose to not abuse his freewill. The below lines were the first he uttered when he realized he was cured.

"And what do I get out of this? Do I get cured of the way I am? Do I find myself able to sloshy the old Choral Symphony without being sick once more? Can I live like a normal jeezny again?” (3.5.13)

CONCLUSION

Thus, by analyzing Alex’s behavior and his acts of violence in the novel, he is a Sociopath with Narcissistic personality disorder. Ivan Pavlov’s classical conditioning, Watson and Rayner’s Little Albert’s experiment, and The Aversion therapy, interpret the Ludovico experiment. The flooding method of desensitization solves the mystery about the reversal of Alex’s condition. A splendid fusion of Science and Fiction is witnessed in this novel. The novel focuses on the message “A man who cannot choose ceases to be a man.” (3.4.19) Every individual is accountable for the freewill he/she exercises. Abuse of free will is an abuse to the life entrusted upon us. The novel, A Clockwork Orange is thus brimming with psychological theories. Alex’s personality disorders and the Ludovico experiment elevates this novel to a whole new level. This coming of age novel is a scaled-down version of the prevailing world. This study is based on Alex’s depiction in the novel. Alex is
a fictional being brought to life by Burgess’s imagination and his narrative skill. To psychologically analyze a character from a text does have its limitations. The final chapter in this novel holds varied interpretations.

THE IMPLICATIONS OF THIS STUDY AND FINDINGS

This study implies psychological theories. The Ludovico experiment in the novel altered Alex’s behavior, whereby costing him to lose control over his free will. His system was modified to react to violence with pain and nausea. The screening of the violence paired with the chemical shots that induced pain and nausea, inflicted fear towards the practice of violence or even a mere thought of it. Similar to this fictional experiment is classical conditioning. Ivan Pavlov conditioned the dogs to salivate to the ticking metronome. With the food being physically absent, the dogs still salivated as their system was altered to respond to the ticking metronome. Watson and Rayner proved that emotion like fear could be directed to one particular object alone in their ‘Little Albert’ experiment. Albert’s fear of loud noise was directed towards the white rat. The striking of the metal bar every time little Albert handled the white rat caused the desired result. The reversal of Alex’s conditioning at the end of the novel can be explained by the flooding method of desensitization. The loud music played in the attic reversed the conditioning imposed on Alex via the Ludovico technique.

LIMITATION AND SUGGESTIONS

Alex is a fictional character, an accurate analysis of his mind and behavior cannot be fully achieved. The readers are confined to the author’s description of Alex. It’s via Burgess’s vocabulary and his choice of words, how Alex is understood by the readers. Since there is no record of his childhood, it is impossible to give an accurate account of his mind and behavior. The events that occur in one’s childhood can impact an individual for better or for worse. Despite all these limitations, Alex remains an interesting case study for the students of psychology even to this day.
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