

“INTERNATIONAL AND INTRASTATE REGULATION OF THE NUCLEAR SECURITY OF THE RUSSIAN FEDERATION”

Elmira Navilevna Malmir
Revol Mirgalimovich Valeev

Kazan Federal University, Russia
Kazan Federal University, Russia

Purpose of the study: The article provides key international and national treaties and laws in the sphere of nuclear activity and nuclear security of the Russian Federation. The authors give brief characteristics. The main aspects of cooperation between Russia and IAEA are appointed. Authors have found out trends in legal providing for the development of nuclear activity of Russia until 2020.

Methodology: The methodology of the article is based on the principles and categories of dialects: induction and deduction. The information about legal acts, international and national, accepted by Russia is fully provided. Great attention was given to the decree of the President of the Russian Federation № 585 «On validation of the Basic Principles of State Policy in the sphere of nuclear and radiological security of the Russian Federation for the period till 2025 and further perspective» dated by 13 October 2018.

Results: In the modern context, nuclear energetics is one of the most important economic sectors of Russia. Dynamic development of nuclear energetics is one of the key conditions for providing energy independence of the state and stable growth of the country's economy. The well-developed legal and regulatory framework is compulsory for providing secure and effective nuclear activity in Russia.

Applications of this study: This research can be used for the universities, teachers, and students.

Novelty/Originality of this study: In this research, the model of the “International and intrastate regulation of the nuclear security of the Russian Federation” is presented in a comprehensive and complete manner.

References

1. Bakici T., Almirall E., Wareham J. (2013). A smart city initiative: The case of Barcelona. *Journal of the Knowledge Economy*. 2013. Vol. 4. Iss. 2. P. 135-148. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13132-012-0084-9>
2. Baron G., Brinkman J., Wenzler I. (2012). Supporting sustainability through smart infrastructures: The case for the city of Amsterdam. *International Journal of Critical Infrastructures*. 2012. Vol. 8. № 2/3. P. 169-177. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJCIS.2012.049036>
3. Boikova M., Ilina I., Salazkin M. (2016). “Smart” model of development as a response to emerging challenges for cities. *foresight*, 2016, vol. 10, №3. – P. 65-75. <https://doi.org/10.17323/1995-459X.2016.3.65.75>
4. Esaulov G. V., Esaulova L. G. (2013). Smart City as a model of urbanization in the XXI century. *urban planning*, № 4 (26), 2013. – P. 27-31.
5. Estevez E., Lopes N.V., Janowski T. (2017). Smart sustainable cities – Reconnaissance study. United Nations University. Operating Unit ON Policy-Driven. Electronic Governance. Canada, 2017.

6. Harrison C., Donnelly I.A. (2011). A Theory of smart cities. Proceedings of the 55th Annual Meeting of the International Society for the Systems Sciences. Held at University of Hull Business School. UK, 2011. P. 1-15.
7. Kola-Bezka M., Czupich M., Ignasiak-Szulc A. (2016). Smart cities in Central and Eastern Europe: Viable future or unfulfilled dream? *Journal of International Studies*. 2016. Vol. 9. № 1. P. 76-87. doi: 10.14254/2071- 8330.2016/9-1/6. URL: http://www.jois.eu/files/JIS_Vol9_No1_Kola-Bezka_Czupich_Ignasiak-Szulc.pdf (дата обращения: 11.01.2019). <https://doi.org/10.14254/2071-8330.2016/9-1/6>
8. Mora L., Bolici R. (2015). How to become a smart city: Learning from Amsterdam. Bisello A., Vettorato D., Stephens R., Elisei P. (eds) *Smart and sustainable planning for cities and regions*. Springer, 2015. P. 251-266. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-44899-2_15
9. Safina G. R., Fedorova V. A., Alekseev S. A. (2017). Environmental and Social aspects of the quality of urban environment in Kazan according to citizens. *Kazan pedagogical journal*. 2017. № 4 (123). S. 171-176.
10. Veselova A. O., Khatskelevich A. N., Ezhova L. S. (2018). Prospects of creation of "Smart Cities" in Russia: systematization of problems and directions of their solution. *Bulletin of Perm University. Series: Economics*. 2018. Vol.13. No. 1. P. 75-89. <https://doi.org/10.17072/1994-9960-2018-1-75-89>
11. Fialkoff, M. (2019). *Regulatory Design for Transport Security Regulations of Nuclear and Other Radioactive Material*. Oak Ridge National Lab.(ORNL), Oak Ridge, TN (United States).
12. Davenport, K., & Parker, J. (2019). Case Study: The Nuclear Security Summit Process and Its Outcomes. In *Nuclear Safeguards, Security, and Nonproliferation* (pp. 319-355). Butterworth-Heinemann. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-803271-8.00011-4>
13. Vinodan, C. (2011). Terrorism and Nuclear Security in South Asia: The Case of Pakistan. *A Biannual Journal of South Asian Studies*, 273.
14. Mullenbach, M. J., & Matthews, G. P. (2008). Deciding to intervene: An analysis of international and domestic influences on United States interventions in intrastate disputes. *International Interactions*, 34(1), 25-52. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03050620701878835>
15. Duić, I., Cvrtila, V., & Ivanjko, T. (2017, May). International cyber security challenges. In *2017 40th International Convention on Information and Communication Technology, Electronics and Microelectronics (MIPRO)* (pp. 1309-1313). IEEE. <https://doi.org/10.23919/MIPRO.2017.7973625>
16. Shipments, R. W. (2005). *ANNUAL REPORT-FY 2004*.
17. Stockholm International Peace Research Institute. (2005). *SIPRI Yearbook 2005: Armaments, Disarmament, and International Security*. Oxford University Press, USA.
18. Norris, R. S., & Kristensen, H. M. (2009). Nuclear notebook: Worldwide deployments of nuclear weapons, 2009. *Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists*, 65(6), 86-98. <https://doi.org/10.2968/065006010>
19. Schneider, M. (2008). The nuclear forces and doctrine of the Russian federation. *Comparative Strategy*, 27(5), 397-425. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01495930802430098>
20. Cimbala, S. J. (2016). *Arms for uncertainty: nuclear weapons in US and Russian security policy*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315567945>
21. Hippel, F. V. (1995). Fissile material security in the post-cold-war world. *Physics Today*, 48(6). <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.881463>
22. Tsyppkin, M. (2012). Russia, America and missile defense. *Defense & Security Analysis*, 28(1), 55-64. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14751798.2012.651379>
23. Sezer, D. B. (1995). Turkey's new security environment, nuclear weapons and proliferation. *Comparative Strategy*, 14(2), 149-172. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01495939508403021>